

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,166	05/06/2004	Demetrius McCormick	3096.EEM	7510
75	590 08/21/2006		EXAMINER	
Charles W. Almer			THOMAS, JAISON P	
National Starch and Chemical 10 Finderne Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bridgewater, NJ 08807			1751	
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				v	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/840,166	MCCORMICK E	MCCORMICK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jaison P. Thomas	1751		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	nddress	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutinely received by the Office later than three months after the mailinely period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 136(a). In no event, however, r will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to becc	IUNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this  me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal		ne merits is	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideratior	n.		
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in altition is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 (		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received ts have been received ority documents have I u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application No Deen received in this Nationa	al Stage	
2)  Notic 3)  Infon	et(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  tr No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) D Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (P r:	TO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/840,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-18, drawn to method of curing a composition, classified in class
     427, subclass 487.
  - II. Claims 20-43, drawn to a composition and substrates made from the composition, classified in class 252, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in creating molded articles having antistatic properties for use in a variety of applications e.g. automotive parts or electronic part packaging.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1751

5. A telephone call was made to Jane Gennaro on 8/17/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Thomas Examiner 8/17/2006

> Mark Kopec Primary Examiner

\*\*\*